
"CORRES CONTROL INCOMINC LTR NO	巨
0545RF 92	
DUE DATE	
ACTION	•
DIST LTR. EN	
BENJAMIN A	
BERMAN, H.S. BRETZKE J.C.	•
BURLINGAME A H.	•
COPP, R D	
CROUCHER, D.W	. N
DAVIS. J.G	· C
EVERED. JE	1
FERRERA, DW	D
FRANCIS, G E	٠ ـ
GOODWIN, R	-
HANNI, B.J	
HEALY, TJ	. –
IDEKER, E H	<u>.</u>
JENS, J P	[A
KERSH, JM	. ປ
KIRBY, W A	- d
KRIEG, D	_
KUESTER, A W	. u
MAJESTIC, J.R.	- fo
MARX, GE	0
MEURRENS, B E	•
MORGAN, R V	- d
PIZZUTO V M	· ta
POTTER, GL	•
SAFFELL, B F	. c
SANDLIN, N B	_
SHEPLER R L	to
SWANSON ER	_

WIEBE JS

WILSON, J M YOUNG E.F

mode



Ar. Bill McIntyre Colorado Division of Water Resources 313 Sherman, Room 818 Penver, CO 80203



ear Mr. McIntyre:

as was discussed at the RFP Natural Resource Trustee meeting held on January 15, 1992, the J.S. Department of Energy (DOE) believes it to be prudent to conduct the equivalent of the injury etermination/quantification phases of a Type B Assessment per 43CFR Part 11 during the RI/RF nvestigations conducted under CERCLA/RCRA. Note, however, that this will not constitute ormal Natural Resource Damage Assessment (NRDA) activities such as a Preassessment Screen r a Type B Assessment. It is DOE's goal to work closely with the Natural Resource Trustees to evise remedies which incorporate early appropriate resource restoration plans, while at the same me avoiding costly and time-consuming lingation

our reasons for desiring to conduct RI/RFI activities such that 43CFR Part 11 is complied with architecture.

- Avoid remobilizing field teams after the RI/RFI field activities have been finalized, 1)
- Enable a more complete preassessment screen at the signing of the ROD/CAD, 2)
- Obtain the rebuttable presumption contained in section 111(h) of CERCLA, and 3)
- Comply with DOE Order 5400 4, CERCLA requirements. 4)

We request that the RI/RFI Work Plans completed to date and Standard Operating Procedures be reviewed for consistency with 43CFR Part 11, Subpart E (11.61 through 11.73). A meeting of t RFP Natural Resource Trustees will be scheduled for sometime in late March 1992, to discuss the adequacy of our Environmental Restoration program relative to the requirements of 43CFR Part)

With regard to priority, we request that the Phase III RI/RFI Work Plan be addressed first. followed by the Phase II RI/RFI Work Plan for OU 2 and the Phase I RI/RFI Work Plan for OU Inspection of these work plans should be sufficient for extrapolation to the remainder of the RFP work plans should your time be limited.

Sincerely,

Reviewed for Addressee Corres Control REP -30-4 DATE

CORRES CONTRO

TRAFFIC

Ref Ltr #

David P. Simonson Assistant Manager

for Environmental Management

.1 .

ADM	IN	RL	CO	RD
-----	----	----	----	----

B McIntyre

A. Rampertaap, EM-453 F Lockhart, ERD, RFO ∞

R. Schassburger, ERD, RFO J Vreeland, ERD, RFO

B. Thatcher, ERD, RFO

J Kersh, EG&G L Woods, EG&G

R. Stewart, DOI

R. Stewart, DOI
T. Jackson, FWS
R. Cattany, CDNR
T Looby, CDH
D. Miller, Colo AG
M. Hestmark, EPA
G. Baughman, CDH